



## **EDITING RULES FOR SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATIONS**

- 1. Personal data:** Full name of all authors (as it should appear in a possible certification, without initials), maximum degree of professional/academic qualification and current affiliation.
- 2. A maximum of 6 authors for each communication**
- 3. State clearly the author responsible for the communication** (it is not necessary to be the first author) **and the email contact of the person in charge.**
- 4. An author can appear in a MAXIMUM OF 3 communications. Breach this rule may result in the rejection of all communications.**
- 5. The author responsible for the communication must be registered in the conference.**
- 6. The responsible author should be in charge of sending the communication from his email.**
- 7. Specify the type of communication you want to present,** between oral or poster, although it will be the Scientific Committee who decides the final presentation format.
- 8. In case of oral communication, clearly specify who will present it. The author who makes the oral presentation during the conference must be registered in.**
- 9. The communication summary must have the following characteristics (see note on style standards below):**

The abstract must have a maximum of **300 words**. Exceeding this extension will be cause for rejection of the proposal. The summary must necessarily be structured in the following sections (**each in a single paragraph**):

- **TITLE**
- **GOALS/AIMS:** Establish the aims of the work and the main hypothesis (if appropriate)
- **METHOD:** Brief description of the study design. Detail the selection and number of participants in the study, the materials and procedures followed.
- **RESULTS:** Describe the strategies for analyzing the results and the main findings.
- **CONCLUSIONS:** Indicate the conclusions (theoretical, methodological or applied) that may be derived from the results or the study itself.

**10. Indicate whether the communication wishes to apply for 15<sup>th</sup> Young Investigators Award is chosen (see the rules of the Award). For oral communications only.**

**Note on style standards:** In addition to the common recommendations on the appropriateness of language to a scientific presentation, the following recommendations should be kept in mind:

- Do not use stigmatizing adjectives such as "psychotic" "schizophrenic" "bipolar" etc. to refer to people (should only be used for disorders). It should be replaced by "people with schizophrenia" "people with a depressive disorder", and similar expressions.
- Avoid the term "psychiatric" to refer to activities performed by other non-psychiatrist professionals: interview, diagnosis, treatment, etc. Instead you can resort to equivalent expressions that recognize the work and involvement of other professionals: clinical interview, psychopharmacological treatment, psychic comorbidity, psychopathological history, etc.
- All acronyms must be accompanied by the full name the first time they are written in the summary, particularly the name of tests, programs, disorders, and so on.
- Review summaries will be assessed only in the case of systematic reviews, which conform to the general format and the search method is properly specified. Descriptive and case studies will be assessed as well.

**Abstracts that neglect these aspects will be directly discarded or edited to correct them.**